VOICE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: You have heard the motion duly seconded. Any discussion?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: All those in favor say aye.

(Chorus of Aye)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Carried.

MR. ETZELL: Rule 13 there was no change.

Rule 14 we have, 'The delegates from each state elected to the National Convention shall immediately after they are elected select from their members for each Committee of the National Convention one man and one woman to serve thereon and shall file notice of such selection with the Secretary of the National Committee. Provided, however, that no delegate may serve on more than one Committee of the National Convention. Alternates may not serve as members of the Convention Committees.'

Mr. Chairman, I move the adoption of that change.

VOICE: Seconded.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: The motion has been duly seconded. All those in favor say Aye.

(Chorus of Aye.)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed?
(No response)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Carried.

MR. ETZELL: Now, it is noted on my copy the various rules, and I'll try to call it to your attention as we go, because of the adoption of the original resolution page one at the top we omit the names by means of that adoption, first motion that was passed, we will omit the names of the District and the territories in Rule 15.

Rule 16 is not changed.

Rule 17 is not changed.

Rule 18 has been revised.

We added in paragraph marked (a), Section (a) Rule 18 which remains the same with the exception in the third line the District and the Territories have been adopted because they are covered under the previous resolution, but we have added a paragraph, sentence to that paragraph that's been marked (a), "No delegate or alternate shall be bound by any attempt of any State or Congressional District, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico or The Virgin Islands to impose the unit rule".

We have also added a section (b) "For the balloting if any delegation shall pass when its name is called then at the conclusion of the roll call all delegations which passed shall be called in alphabetical order,
and no delegation will be allowed to change its votes
until all delegations which passed shall have been given
a second opportunity to vote."

I think I could give you a brief explanation.
Number one, on the sentence that was added in Rule 18,
that was added because we have had so many inquiries
as to the unit rule. Basically, our counsel tells us
and has indicated before to many delegations that it
really is taking care of section (a) as it was originally
written in the rules previously.

We thought that in order to make it quite
plain what is meant above we would add that sentence.

Now in (b), I will tell you, frankly, (b) was
put in for the purpose of assisting the permanent
chairman of your convention of being from Minnesota.
I remember very well 1952 the Chairman of our delegation
got the attention of the Chairman over many other
delegations and was able to cast the deciding votes that
nominated President Eisenhower. We think it will be
very difficult for the Permanent Chairman if he must
make a choice, ten, twelve, fifteen, states may want to
arise at a certain point to have the honor of naming
pasting the ballots the delegates grant, to have nominated
the delegates or candidates.

Therefore, in order to help him in that
respect we spelled it out (a), (b) and (c) you see under paragraph (b) so he might have an orderly vote.

    MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman.

    Will the gentleman yield for a question?

    MR. BROWN: Of course, it's been customary at most conventions recent years to call the roll of the states that have not voted or passed, alphabetically. At times that has not happened, of course, but this rule says nothing about the right to have the name of the state called at the end of a roll call if it's been called once, be called again at the end of the roll call before the vote is tabulated and announced, should the state delegation wish to change its vote.

    What is the rule on that?

    MR. ETZELL: The procedure here under this (b)

    MR. BROWN: That is where they pass.

    MR. ETZELL: No.

    MR. BROWN: It says "For the balloting if any delegation shall pass when its name is called".

    Suppose a state casts its vote, casts ten votes, Joe Smith as we did have somebody here last time we were in San Francisco, someone may recall, some of you older members.

    Then that state, before the tabulation is
completed before the announcement of the vote, the
results of the first balloting is announced that
state demands its name be called, then what about
that?

MR. ETZELL: There is no change in that.

MR. BROWN: What is that?

MR. ETZELL: There is no change.

There would be no change in that procedure.

MR. BROWN: It is my understanding that is
permissible.

Is that correct, the state can demand its
name be called again if anybody wants it?

CHAIRMAN MILLER: No change in that. No change
in that.

MR. BROWN: I just wanted that clarified.

MR. ETZELL: Mr. Chairman, if I haven't, I will
just move the adoption.

VOICE: Mr. Chairman

MR. ETZELL: Counsel called my attention to
the fact the first word under (b) should be "In"
the balloting instead of "for".

MRS. PEAK: Mr. Chairman, I wish to speak to a
portion of Rule 18 (a) in order to preserve the
traditional position of the Republican Party on the
so called unit rule, which has never been recognized by
the Party in the Convention, because I personally believe the publicity, the change of this rule would receive could convince delegates they are relieved not only from their legal obligation but moral obligation. I move the report of the Rules Committee be amended by deleting in its entirety the following sentence now included in the proposed rule section (a).

"No delegate or alternate shall be bound by any attempt of any State or Congressional District, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico or The Virgin Islands to impose the unit rule."

CHAIRMAN MILLER: You have heard the motion. Is there a second?

VOICE: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second the motion.

MR. ROSS: May I speak to the motion? Ladies and gentlemen, I was Chairman of the Subcommittee which recommended this rule and I want to say it was our thought at the time this language was merely explanatory of the sentence which preceded it. That sentence says this:

"But if exception is taken by any Delegate to the correctness of such announcement by the Chairman of his Delegation, the Chairman of the Convention shall direct the roll of members of such Delegation to be
called and the result shall be recorded in accordance
with the vote of the several Delegates in such Delegation."

Now that sentence in different language is
and has been for a long time an effective method of
preventing the imposition of the unit rule upon any
delegate. All any delegate has to do is stand up and
say, I want a poll of the delegation and his vote be
recorded in accordance with his wishes regardless of any
attempt on the part of any delegation either at a state
convention by state law or by the state delegation to
impose upon him a position or person he does not wish
to support.

This real change was explained to our Subcommittee
yesterday by the person who proposed it as merely being
explanatory of this sentence and I am satisfied that
that is the case.

However, since yesterday's meeting I have
discussed this suggestion with several other people who
believe that the adoption of this change in the rule and
the attendant publicity which would be given to it would
convince some delegates they are released not only from
a legal obligation which they never had but also from a
moral obligation which some of them do have. This
included Nebraska. Nebraska has several delegates who
have signed written pledges in order to get their names
put on in a certain way they will support a certain candidate.

If this is the effect, the publicity given to this rule change in the rule change itself, would tend to give delegates the impression they no longer have a moral responsibility to the state convention or to the people who elected them, then I think probably we should not go ahead and pass the change in the resolution and for that reason I would agree with Audry Peak and I think probably it would be just as well to leave it out.

Therefore, I think it would be better if we voted yes on this particular motion.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Recognize Congressman from Ohio, Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, if I recall correctly, some of the older members can correct me if I'm wrong, the last time we did not use or did use the unit rule in the Republican Convention was 1913 those of you who were there,

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Remember it very well.

(Laughter)

MR. BROWN: Since that time it has been the precedent and the custom that there has been no unit rule applicable in the Republican Convention.

The Democratic Conventions have used the unit rule. Now is it our understanding if this sentence
is deleted that the precedent of no unit rule will be imposed?

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Absolutely.

MR. BROWN: And carried out in this Convention?

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes, sir.

The Republican Party does not have the unit rule, does not have it, and does not intend to impose it at this Convention.

There is no change. This was merely meant to be explanatory.

MR. BROWN: I just want to remind the gentleman there might be someone else on the platform at the time and I have no question about the responsibility and the decency of any presiding officer, but is it generally understood the parliamentarian and counsel would rule that way?

MR. SCRIBNER: It is obviously getting close to the time there is going to be balloting on something because people are reading into mere verbal changes some attempt to accomplish some other result.

The only reason that this sentence has been put in here is because we have had over the past two or three years from many members of this Committee from interested Republicans and more recently from people who are delegates, saying we are not lawyers and we don't
understand all the implications and if the Republican
Party does not have the unit rule, in other words a
delegate coming to this Convention has the right to
stand up and vote his judgment at the time, we’d like
to have somebody say so.

This rule, of course, once it appeared, if this
sentence were in here, would be in the rules for the
next four years and people would have the benefit.
There is no change in the legal requirement and it’s
difficult for me to see how merely clarifying something
which has been in effect, certainly, since 1923, as
you and I both remember from our personal experiences,
could have any other implication except that of clarification.

That is the only attempt and seems to me unfort-
tunate to have an issue injected here which is not
present.

MR. BROWN: I think the answer to the question is
it is our understanding there will be no attempt to
impose the unit rule in the convention.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: That is correct.

MR. ELLIOTT: I am just concerned about the fact
it seems to me this clarifying sentence is a good
sentence to have in here so there is neither at this
time or any future time any question about it.

I don’t believe there is any attempt here,
other than what Mr. Scribner said and I hope that our Committee could adopt this language as it has been recommended.

MR. JANEWAY: I dislike being in opposition to one of my fellow Subcommittee Chairman. I would also be in agreement with the gentleman who just spoke. It seems to be a useful sentence to maintain and spell out as a clarification.

I myself have been asked a number of times if we have such a rule. I pointed out it is contained in the rule. Here we have it spelled out and I think it has a very useful public image contrary to the views, I know, of my associate from Illinois and I might say so far as I recall it in our rules committee yesterday this passed our rules committee by a very heavy majority.

I personally would like to see it retained.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any further discussion?

VOICE: In February in Oklahoma, prior to our state convention, there was a great deal of discussion about an instructed delegation. I was familiar then with the rule and attitude of the Republican Party at the National Convention and knew traditionally we had never honored instructed delegations or the unit rule.

To strengthen my comment regarding that, I
asked Mr. Scribner for his opinion. He replied to the effect, of course, we did not have the unit rule. It was never recognized. Actually, this is as we all realize explanatory but it seems to me by calling particular attention to this one item and it implies something has existed which we have been very proud of in the Republican Party.

Following our convention in Oklahoma we ended up with an instructed or pledged delegation but the next day we had a lot of publicity. Not that it came from the Convention but we had publicity pointing out the fact traditionally the Republican Party did not have this rule and I think by this implication that we are damaging that position we have, to some extent.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Further discussion?

MR. CARBAUGH: In view of the fact, by the rule we have put Puerto Rico off to 1968 we could specify this change will take effect 1968, so we will not upset anything by publicity.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: We couldn't make any such specification in the rules to be binding on the Convention 1968.

MR. CARBAUGH: It will upset a lot of people if this rule is publicized for passage.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: You are speaking in opposition
to the motion.

Any further discussion?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: I will pose the question.

The question now is on the motion made by the distinguished lady from Illinois, Mrs. Peak, this section in Rule 18 be stricken.

MR. SCRIBNER: The last sentence.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: I am sorry. That the last sentence of Section (a) reading:

"No delegate or alternate shall be bound by any attempt of any State or Congressional District, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico or The Virgin Islands to impose the unit rule".

The motion made by Mrs. Peak is that be stricken.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Drake Ebenos, the State Chairman, South Carolina acting as proxy for Mr. Wannamaker.

I will now ask the Secretary to call the roll.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Mostellar.

Mrs. Abernethy.

MRS. ABERNETHY: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Hinkel.

Mrs. Reeve.
MR.

MRS. Wood.

MR. WOOD: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Braden.

MRS. BRADEN: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Rockefeller.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Jameson.

MRS. JAMESON: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Hitt.

MRS. HITT: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Weinberger.

MR. WEINBERGER: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Powers.

MR. POWERS: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Swan.

MRS. SWAN: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Wolf.

MR. WOLF: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Ryan.

MR. RYAN: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Ranschoff.

MRS. RANSCHOFF: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Haskell.
Mr. Harrison.

MR. HARRISON: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Shank.

MRS. SHANK: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Snodgrass.

MR. SNODGRASS: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Rice.

MRS. RICE: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Dillingham.

MR. DILLINGHAM: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Kellerman.

MRS. KELLERMAN: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Markham.

MR. MARKHAM: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Kemper.

MR. KEMPER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Peak.

MRS. PEAK: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Beardsley.

MR. BEARDSLEY: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Harrington.

MRS. HARRINGTON: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Lomas.
MR. MARTIN: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Koeze.

MRS. KOEZE: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Elliott.

MR. ELLIOTT: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Etzell.

MR. ETZELL: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Lund.

MRS. LUND: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. La Rue.

MR. LA RUE: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Hooper.

MRS. HOOPER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Corley.

MR. CORLEY: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Ginn.

MRS. GINN: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Murphy.

MR. MURPHY: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Knowles.

MRS. KNOWLES: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Ross.

MR. ROSS: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Donald.

MRS. DONALD: Yes.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Witthoff.
MR. WITTHOFF: Yes.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Converse.
MR. CONVERSE: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Gulling.
MRS. GULLING: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Treat.
MR. TREAT: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Currier.
MRS. CURRIER: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Shanley.
MR. SHANLEY: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Neuberger.
MRS. NEUBERGER: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Todd.
MR. TODD: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Mitchell.
MR. MITCHELL: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Lee.
MRS. LEE: Yes.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Hinman.
MR. HINMAN: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Mc Hugh.
MRS. MC HUGH: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Broyhill.
MR. BROYHILL: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Rogers.

MRS. ROGERS: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Meidinger.

MR. MEIDINGER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Schäfer.

MRS. SCHÄFER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Rouzie.

MR. ROUZIE: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Brown.

MRS. BROWN: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Bliss.

MR. BLISS: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Tyler.

MR. TYLER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Kelly.

MRS. KELLY: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Paget.

MR. PAGET: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Moore.

MRS. MOORE: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Dixon.

MRS. DIXON: No.
MRS. BUCK: Mr. Truax.

MR. TRUAX: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Wannamaker.

MR. EATON: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Barnes.

MRS. BARNES: Yes

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Carbaugh.

MR. CARBAUGH: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Baker.

MRS. BAKER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Carbaugh.

MR. CARBAUGH: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Fay.

MR. FAY: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Kampmann.

MRS. KAMPMANN: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Garff.

MR. GARFF: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Wirthlin.

MRS. WIRTHLIN: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Janeway.

MR. JANEWAY: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Bailey.

MRS. BAILEY: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Straughan.
MR. STRAUHAN: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Barger.

MRS. BARGER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Corber.

MR. CORBER: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Tourtelotte.

MRS. TOURTELLOTTE: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Moore.

MR. MOORE: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Pierce.

MR. PIERCE: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Iving.

MRS. IIVING: Yes.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Thorson.

MR. THORSON: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Breuninger.

MR. BREUNINGER: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Marriott.

MRS. MARRIOTT: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mr. Ferre.

MR. FERRE: No.

MRS. BUCK: Mrs. Williams.

MRS. WILLIAMS: No.

MRS. BUCK: Are there any present in the room who were not present when their names were called and
did not respond to the roll?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: We will now recess the proceedings until the tabulation is made.

(Processing of Tabulations)
MRS. BUCK: The result of the roll call 59 no, 41 aye.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: The motion is defeated.

59 no, 41 aye.

MR. ETZELL: Mr. Chairman, I now move the adoption of Rule 18 as amended.

VOICE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: You have heard the motion duly seconded. All those in favor say aye.

(Chorus of aye)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Carried.

MR. DILLINGHAM: A point of clarification, if I may.

Paragraph (b) of Rule 18 I would like to know again that if a delegation passes, and comes back to a roll call, do they call in the passed votes in alphabetical order?

MR. SCRIBNER: That is what it states.

MR. ETZELL: Rule 19, paragraph section (b) capital letters, page 5 added:

IF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CASTS ITS ELECTORAL VOTES FOR THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT AT THE PRECEDING PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE A