151

JOSE RIVERA,	
	Plaintiff,
-agains	t- Index No. 16240/13
LOYD LAMPELL,	JURY TRIAL
	Defendant. X
	Supreme Courthouse 88-11 Sutphin Boulevard Jamaica, New York 11435 March 9, 2016
3	
THE HONORAL	BLE MARTIN E. RITHOLTZ, JUSTICE
APPEARANCE:	s:
Attori 1778 : Wappii BY: BRIAN CHRIS PICCIANO & Attori 900 Mi WESTBU	N & PARTNERS neys for the Plaintiff South Road - Route 9 ngers Falls, New York 12590 D. ACARD, ESQ. TOPHER CAMASTRO, ESQ. SCAHILL, P.C. neys for the Defendant ERCHANTS CONCOURSE - SUITE 310 URY, NEW YORK 11590 IS J. SCAHILL, ESQ.
	EILEEN AGNOLETTO Senior Court Reporter

4

Proceedings

	030916 rivera.txt
1	THE COURT: Bring them in.
2	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise, jury entering.
3	(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)
4	THE COURT: Good morning, jurors. You may be
5	seated. Everyone may be seated.
6	Before we get started, I want to recognize someone
7	who is in my courtroom, it is her last day, Theresa Logan,
8	who has been with me a few months. Unfortunately in terms
9	of the Court system, they failed because if they were
10	really functioning properly she would remain in Queens
11	County. She's going to Suffolk. I just want to recognize
12	her. Really a round of applause. We'll miss you.
13	At this time you may call your next witness.
14	MR. ACARD: Thank you, your Honor. We call Lynn
15	Mizzy Jonas to the stand.
16	Ms. Jonas, please raise your right hand.
17	LYNN MIZZY JONAS, called as a witness by and on
18	behalf of the Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn, was
19	examined and testified as follows:
20	THE CLERK: Please be seated. In a loud, clear
21	voice please state your name and business address.
22	THE WITNESS: My name is Lynn Mizzy, M-I-Z-Z-Y,
23	Jonas, J-O-N-A-S, 42nd Street Austins Place, A-U-S-T-I-N-S,
24	Staten Island, New York 10310.
25	THE COURT: You may inquire.
	ea
	152
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct
1	MR. ACARD: Thank you, your Honor.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. ACARD:
J	DI PINT ACARDE

	030916		
Good	morning,	Ms.	Jonas.

- 5 A Good morning.
- 6 Q The address you just gave us, is that your business
- 7 address?

4

8 A Yes.

Q

- 9 Q And that is in Staten Island?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Can you tell the jury what you do for a living?
- 12 A I'm a vocational consultant.
- 13 Q And can you explain what that is?
- 14 A Sure. I evaluate people's employability and earning
- 15 capacity. I meet with clients, I do vocational interviews and
- 16 testing. I do a job analysis. Can you hear me? I do job
- 17 analysis where I analyze what the requirements are to different
- 18 jobs. I determine what the labor market is for different jobs,
- 19 and I'm called in on cases like this to testify about people's
- 20 ability to work and what they can earn.
- 21 Q Can you tell us your educational background?
- 22 A Yes. I've got an undergraduate degree in psychology
- 23 from Mills College, and that's in California. I have a masters
- 24 degree in guidance and counseling from City University, 1978.
- 25 Q Now, do you have specialized training for your field?

ea

153

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

1 A Yes.

- 2 Q Can you explain to the jury?
- 3 A Well, because of my professional certifications, which
- 4 I can go into also, I have ongoing continuing education,
- 5 seminars, workshops, inservice training which I've been doing
- 6 since I've been in this field, which is 40 years.

030916	rivora	+v+
UNIMIN	rivera.	. I X I

- 7 Q And you mentioned your specialist certifications. Car
- 8 you explain that to the jury?
- 9 A Sure. I'm a board certified vocational expert at the
- 10 diplomate level, which is the highest of the three levels. That
- 11 requires -- it's a national certification, requires seven years
- 12 of post-graduate, post-masters-degree experience doing forensic
- 13 evaluations. One must have continuing education credits to
- 14 maintain this certification.
- 15 I'm a certified rehabilitation counselor, which is more
- 16 geared towards vocational rehabilitation, helping people get
- 17 jobs, things like that, also a national organization with
- 18 continuing education, certified school counselor in the State of
- 19 New York.

우

- 20 I'm certified in work evaluation techniques, which is,
- 21 in other words, that's to give vocational tests, how to
- 22 determine the reliability and validity on how to administer
- 23 those tests and interpret these tests, and I was certified by
- 24 the U.S. Department of Labor Worker's Compensation programs as a
- 25 rehabilitation counselor.

ea

154

- 1 Q Do you have any membership in any professional
- 2 organizations?
- 3 A Yes. I'm a member of the National Rehabilitation
- 4 Association and International Association of Rehabilitation
- 5 Professionals.
- 6 Q And can you tell us a little bit about your
- 7 professional experience?
- 8 A Yep. It began in the 70s in Staten Island. I began
- 9 working for St. Vincent's, North Richmond Community Health

- 10 Center as a vocational evaluator. That's where I began to get
- 11 my actual hands-on training in testing, things like that.
- 12 After five years when I got my masters degree, I was
- 13 promoted and I ran the whole department. I was chief of
- 14 rehabilitation services. We had shelters, workshops. I ran
- 15 therapy groups, inservice training, a multidisciplinary staff,
- 16 job placement, job evaluation.
- 17 After that I became employed as rehabilitation
- 18 specialist for Intercore, which was a disability management
- 19 company. And what that involves was case management of people
- 20 who had been injured on jobs. I would meet with them and their
- 21 doctors. I would meet with the employers to see if we could get
- 22 them back to work.
- I then began also consulting with the Social Security
- 24 Administration as a vocational expert, and that meant I would
- 25 attend hearings for people applying for SSD and DSI, which is

ea

155

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 disability, and I would advise the administrative law judge as
- 2 to whether or not a person had transferrable skills and how many
- 3 jobs would exist in the economy if they were able to do such a
- 4 job.

- 5 I also began work with the Office of Worker
- 6 Compensation programs, with the Department of Labor, and I had a
- 7 caseload with them, too, where I would try to get -- well, there
- 8 were federal employees, postal workers, park workers, people who
- 9 had been injured. I would go with them to their doctor, analyze
- 10 their jobs, counsel them to see whether or not they could go
- 11 back to work.
- 12 And then I do this. I retired from those jobs and now

- 13 I exclusively do consulting work with attorneys and insurance
- 14 companies.
- 15 Q And so you are in your own private practice?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And for how long has that been?
- 18 A Since the early '80.
- 19 Q And you do professional consultations, you stated?
- 20 A Excuse me?
- 21 Q You do consultations, professional consultations?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And what did those consultation involve?
- 24 A Well, in an evaluation such as thinks? I'm not sure if
- 25 that is what you're asking.

ea

156

- 1 Q In general when you do a consultation.
- 2 A Well, typically I do injury cases and matrimonial
- 3 cases. What that means is I meet with clients to -- after I
- 4 review all the records that the attorney gives me, medical
- 5 records, work records, things like that, I meet with the clients
- 6 and I perform a vocational evaluation.
- 7 What that involves is an interview where I go through
- 8 the education, the work experience, and how their injuries
- 9 affect them, what their skills are, and then I administer a
- 10 battery of vocational tests. This gives me the opportunity not
- 11 only to see how they compare with other people who have various
- 12 jobs by testing timer, but also gives me the opportunity to
- 13 observe their work behavior, because when you spend a few hours
- 14 with the person in work simulation you can really see what it is
- 15 like when they are trying to work with their hands on,

030916 rivera txt	030916	rivera	tyt
-------------------	--------	--------	-----

- 16 concentrate on a task, and then I write up a report.
- 17 Q Now, have you ever been recognized in any courts in the
- 18 State of New York as an expert in your field?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q About how many occasions?
- 21 A Well, Supreme Court, Compensation Court, Federal Court,
- 22 all of that matrimony courts, hundreds of times, over. I've
- 23 been doing this for 30 years.
- 24 Q Are you being compensated for your time here today?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

우

ea

157

- 1 Q Okay. And how much time did you take out of your
- 2 office from Staten Island to be here today?
- 3 A The whole day.
- 4 Q And how much are you being compensated?
- 5 A \$3,000 for my day, the day.
- 6 Q Is that the usual and customary fee?
- 7 A I'm told I'm cheaper. It's my customary fee.
- 8 Q Do you work for both plaintiffs and defendants?
- 9 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 10 Q Now, did there come a time when my office asked you to
- 11 do an evaluation of Jose Rivera?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And when was that?
- 14 A April 22nd of last year.
- 15 Q And where did that evaluation take place?
- 16 A Hempstead, in one of your offices.
- 17 Q And you mentioned some of the procedures that you use
- 18 in your evaluation before. Are those the same type of

19	procedures you	030916 rivera.txt did in the evaluation of Jose?
20	A Yes.	
21	Q And	when you did that evaluation did you prepare a
22	report?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Do y	ou have that report with you?
25	A Yes.	
		ea
		158
		Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct
1	Q And	would it help you to refresh your recollection if
2	you could refe	r to that report?
3	A Yes.	
4	Q So f	eel free to refer to it as you need it, okay?
5	A Than	k you.
6	Q Now,	at the time that you did the evaluation were you
7	provided with	any records?
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Can	you tell the jury what you were provided with?
10	A Okay	. I'll have to refer to my report because it's a
11	lot.	
12		THE COURT: You may.
13	A All	right. Medical reports of Dr. Tanttleff
14	T-A-N-T-T-L-E-	F-F, Dr. Lubliner, Dr. Kleinman, Dr. Lattuga, Miss
15	Rosen, physica	l therapist, New York Spine Specialists, Island
16	Musculoskeleta	l Care, Franklin Hospital Medical Center, Winthrop
17	University Hos	pital, Dr. Liu, L-I-U, New York Pain Management
18	Group, Primary	Health Care, and then other things, Verizon
19	employee's rec	ords, bill of particulars, and the deposition

Ŷ

And did you review all of those records?

20 transcript of Jose Rivera.

Q

21

030916	ivera.txt
--------	-----------

- 22 A I did.
- 23 Q And what did you learn from those records?
- 24 A Well, I was mainly interested in the most recent
- 25 medical records so I just went through a general history,

159

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 because my job is to determine if is there any kind of work he
- 2 can do now. So it was a big file, obviously, because I named a
- 3 lot of hospitals and doctors, but basically I knew that he was
- 4 injured on the job in 2012 in July and he was taken to the
- 5 hospital.

- 6 From there he began treatments, and those treatments
- 7 include epidural injections in his back and his neck, cortisone
- 8 injections in the knees. He went to physical therapy. He got
- 9 pain medications. It didn't help so then he had two surgeries
- 10 in 2013, which was the year after he was hurt. He had a fusion
- 11 on his neck and a fusion on his lower back. He also had knee
- 12 injuries and surgery was not recommended for that. He had
- 13 physical therapy. He had chiropractic, he had epidural,
- 14 medication, and then it was determined --
- 15 I can go right to the most recent medical reports.
- 16 0 0kay.
- 17 A Okay. Dr. Mikeliss, M-I-K-E-L-I-S-S, he worked with
- 18 Lattuga, said that he was -- This was in September of 2014.
- 19 MR. SCAHILL: Objection to was Dr. Mikeliss said,
- 20 your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay, sustained.
- 22 Q We can move on.
- 23 A Dr. Kleinman on 2/10/15 concluded that he was a patient
- 24 totally disabled.

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

우

23

우

1 doctors --MR. ACARD: Dr. Kleinman is ready to testify. 2 THE COURT: Let's have a sidebar. Just use the 3 4 word objection or sidebar. (whereupon, at this time a discussion was held 5 sidebar.) 6 7 THE COURT: Okay, objection overruled. You may 8 answer. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Do you remember the question? 10 THE WITNESS: I was up to doctor --11 THE COURT: I think she gave the answer. You 12 already gave the answer. Can we have it read back, the 13 question and answer? 14 (Whereupon, at this time the testimony was read 15 back by the court reporter.) 16 THE COURT: I'm going to allow that to stand. 17 MR. ACARD: Thank you, your Honor. 18 Did you also take a history from Jose Rivera 19 Q 20 personally? 21 Α Yes. And can you tell us about that history? 22 Q

Okay. I asked him what his issues were resulting from the accident because that's why I was there. First he said --24

he complained about his lower back. It was mainly his lower 25

ea

ea

160

161

030916 rivera.txt Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 back and his neck, those were the two main problems. He said he
- 2 felt like there was a huge weight in his back and it went down
- 3 from his back and through his buttocks to the legs to the bottom
- 4 of his feet, and he was in pain all the time, it was constant.
- 5 Sometimes it would get worse, sometimes it was less worse, and
- 6 if he would walk or sit more than ten minutes or so it would be
- 7 exacerbated.
- 8 He was using a cane to get around. I saw him
- 9 constantly fidgeting in his chair and getting up. We were
- 10 together for over two hours. He got up and down, moved around
- 11 fidgeted the whole time.
- 12 Secondly, the neck was very painful. He felt like he
- 13 had little needles stabbing him, and the pain would go, as neck
- 14 pain goes, into his shoulders and into his arms. He said it was
- 15 constant and felt like a kink.
- 16 He also had problems with his hands, that they would
- 17 cramp up because he was using the cane for his -- on the right
- 18 side. He would use his left hand and that made his left hand
- 19 feel worse.

የ

- 20 His right knee had pain because he had a torn meniscus.
- 21 He would have to dangle his leg when he was sitting down. He
- 22 got headaches several times a week, which lasted anywhere from
- 23 an hour to a few days, and he was very depressed. This is
- 24 something that he said he didn't talk to his family about. His
- 25 family is here, I guess. Anyway, he said he doesn't talk to his

ea

162

- 1 family about. He just loses focus. He loses concentration. He
- 2 gets headaches.

3	Then he talked about his treatment. He said he went
4	for physical therapy three times a week. He had a back brace, a
5	TENS unit at home, which is like an electronic stimulation
6	thing. He used bands, heating pads, did exercises as much as he
7	could. He was following the recommendations of his doctors.
8	He was taking medication, Tramadol, Flexeril, and
9	Ambien. He said that helped but it didn't stop the pain, but he
10	hadn't taken any of that when he came to see me because he was
11	driving to the interview and he didn't want to take the drugs
12	when he was driving.
13	Those were his pain complaints and
14	Q Did you take an education history from him as well?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Can you tell us about that?
17	A Mr. Rivera didn't finish high school. He dropped out
18	in his senior year. He was having some family issues. His
19	sister had died and he left school.
20	He took the GED, but that was during that time. He
21	didn't know, he wasn't sure if he passed it or not. Later on
22	when he was about 19 he went to a technology class at Albert
23	Miller School in the electronics field, became a certified
24	electronic technician later on.
25	when he was employed he took various classes on the job
	ea
	163
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct
1	in alarm, close circuit, TV, welding, car stereo installation,
2	Tackenith and voltage. He always took the sources at the time

- 2 locksmith, and voltage. He always took the courses at the time
- 3 job that they offered.
- 5 A Yes.

9

6 Okay. Q He started work at 16, stock boy cashier. Then he 7 Α worked at a piano restoration place when he was 17, and then he worked as a stock boy and a cashier at a pharmacy. Then he went to this technology school and got the training. 10 In 1989 he started at a place called Jayso, J-A-Y-S-O, 11 12 Electronics, started as a stock boy and in six years he worked his way up to general manager. He was doing sales, he was 13 fixing thing. He worked with law enforcement on alarms. He 14 said he was known as, quote, doctor, because people would call 15 him to diagnosis electronic problems. He had a lot of pride in 16 17 this job. From there he went to King Alarm in New Jersey where he 18 sold security products, but he took the NYNEX test so he started 19 there in 1996 and he remained at NYNEX until 2012. NYNEX is now 20 Verizon, as we know. So he had a number of jobs at this 21 company. I'll just run through them and tell you what they 22 entailed. 23 Started as customer service rep, that's on the phone, 24 people we talk to when we call Verizon. Then he became a 25 ea 164 Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct 1 splicer, that is where he was underground installing cables. 9/11 he was assigned to rebuild the lines, the telephone lines, so he worked down there for six months. 3 4 Then he worked in INM, which is installation and maintenance. His job was quote specialist. He worked inside 6 buildings fixing phone lines and hooking up all the connections. He did that for two years. He moved to different locations and

, lie ala ci

did this.

	030916 rivera.txt
9	Then he went to FIOS in the early 2000s. He took FIOS
10	training classes for a couple of weeks. He did installations.
11	He set up the terminal box. He would have to climb up the
12	poles. If anybody has had FIOS installed they know what the guy
13	does. He did everything. He was physical. He was connecting
14	wires. He was climbing. He was lifting. By the 2011, he was
15	earning over a hundred thousand dollars a year.
16	Then he was injured on July 5, 2012. He tried to get
17	back to work the following January, but he couldn't handle it
18	after eight days. He had just been picking up trucks to bring
19	things back to the garage, and he couldn't even do that. That
20	was even less than his previous job, wasn't that physical.
21	That's it.
22	Q Okay, thank you. Now, you mentioned that you spent
23	over two hours with Mr. Rivera?
24	A Yes, two and a quarter hours.
25	Q And during that time did you perform any tests on Mr.
	ea
	165
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct
1	Rivera?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	Q What is the reason that you performed tests?
4	A Well, a test gives you a score and it shows you how you
5	do compared to other people so you can measure what their
6	different abilities are compared to certain workers. Not only
7	that you have the opportunity, as I said earlier, to observe

them so you can see, are they aggressive when they tackle their

work, do they pay attention, do they move around, does their

mind wander, are they good with their minds to think, what is 10

the response to the work. So, I was able to really capture him 11 Page 14

- 12 in a work simulation and see what he was like.
- 13 Q Do you consider the testing an important part of your
- 14 evaluation?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And why is that?
- 17 A Well, because if you just talk to a person and they
- 18 tell you certain things you've got nothing objective. Well, you
- 19 have less objective evidence and information to back up what you
- 20 think.
- 21 Q Now, did you observe, in this particular instance, did
- 22 you observe Mr. Rivera while you were doing the testing?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And for what reason do you observe him?
- 25 A I wanted to see if he could physically handle it. I

ea

166

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 wanted to see if he was paying attention. I wanted to see if he
- 2 was making enough of an effort.
- 3 Q Can you describe the tests that you performed?
- 4 A Yes. First I did manual dexterity, because over
- 5 99 percent of all jobs require use of the hands in some way,
- 6 either typing, or writing, or holding something, or attaching
- 7 something.
- 8 So there is a test called the Purdue Pegboard, and it's
- 9 a four-part test where you pick up tiny things and put them in
- 10 holes and you assemble the small objects. I gave him all four
- 11 parts where he would first use his right hand, then his left
- 12 hand, then both hands together. He worked in the lowest
- 13 percentile for every single test.
- What happened was he was working very slowly. He was Page 15

- 15 fumbling. He would, when he was -- when you are doing this test
- 16 you are -- there's a board in front of you on the table and he
- 17 was resting his elbows on the table while he was picking up the
- 18 pins and putting them in. He was fumbling on all the small
- 19 pieces. He would pick pieces out of the cup and he would drop
- 20 them, so what happened was he was constantly moving, but what
- 21 happens was his score was very low.
- Then I gave him something called the Crawford Small
- 23 Parts Dexterity Test, which is a test that you use your hands
- 24 for small work but you are using tools, and the tool in this
- 25 case was a screwdriver. So he had to pick up a tiny screw, put

ęa ea

167

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 it in a hole, and then with two hands use the screwdriver to
- 2 drive it in all the way, and there's 36 of these to do.
- 3 So what happened was he was using both of his hands and
- 4 he started to shake out the right hand, because I had seen his
- 5 hands shaking before when he was working and he was cramping up,
- 6 his neck was starting to bother him because when you are working
- 7 at a desk you are holding your head down and his neck was
- 8 bothering him, because he said he had neck problems, so it all
- 9 kind of makes sense. Both of his hands were trembling. He was
- 10 getting pain in his right arm and the lower back was bothering
- 11 him. Oh, I stopped the test. There was no point in continuing.
- 12 Then we went into the clerical tests where he didn't
- 13 really have to use his hands as much except to use a pencil.
- 14 The first one was verbal comprehension, and this is a test where
- 15 you match up words, you have to say a group of synonyms. He did
- 16 well on this. He was in the average range compared to
- 17 assemblers, cashiers, little lower compared to cashiers, but Page 16

- 18 average compared to mail clerks and file clerks and the general
- 19 male employed population.
- 20 What happened was as he's working through this he
- 21 started losing his concentration, I don't know, he may have
- 22 scored higher had he not lost his concentration. He said, I
- 23 have a quote, I was spacing out, and so I read it once and
- 24 embedded it in my head. What this showed me, though, was
- 25 motivation because if a person is not trying to do well they

ea

168

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 will do poorly in everything, but he did well on this, he did
- 2 average.
- 3 Then I gave him a numerical ability test, and by this
- 4 time we are two hours into this, meeting the two of us. It's
- 5 decimals, fractions, simple math, and he scored below average
- 6 compared to the general population and clerical workers but
- 7 average compared to cashiers.
- 8 What I observed while he was doing this was he was
- 9 always flexing his right hand. He'd be shifting in his chair.
- 10 He was not really focused on it. He wasn't concentrating that
- 11 well.
- 12 Then finally I gave him a test called visual speed and
- 13 accuracy where you have to compare numbers, are they exactly the
- 14 name, are they different, so it's an ability to know detail.
- 15 It's a good test for concentration and attentiveness, and he was
- 16 below average in this test. He understood it, he was fairly
- 17 accurate, but he was very slow and he was just losing his
- 18 concentration, it was obvious.
- 19 At the end we were together for two and a half -- two
- 20 and a quarter hours. I asked him how he felt compared to when Page 17

Ŷ

	030916 rivera.txt
21	he arrived. He said his lower back and neck were throbbing and
22	his hand right hand was cramping and tingling. The end.
23	Q At the time that Jose was doing the testing, did you
24	feel he was giving his best efforts in the testing?
25	MR. SCAHILL: Objection.
	ea
	169
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct
1	THE COURT: Let's have a sidebar.
2	(Whereupon, at this time a discussion was held
3	sidebar.)
4	THE COURT: Sustained as to form. Rephrase the
5	question.
6	Q Ms. Jonas, as part of your evaluation process are you
7	evaluating the effort of the person that is taking the test?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And in your professional opinion to a reasonable degree
10	of vocational rehab certainty did you feel that Mr. Rivera was
11	giving his best effort?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And how were you able to determine that?
14	A His complaints were consistent with the medical reports
15	I had read. He was agreeable to trying everything I did, I gave
16	to him to do. He stuck with it. He only I'm the one that
17	ended the one test; he didn't ask to stop the test. He was
18	moving around appearing very uncomfortable, but staying on the
19	job and he did well on that verbal test, which showed a good
20	effort.

- 21 $\,$ Q $\,$ And that was significant, the verbal test?
- 22 A Yes.

우

 $\,$ Q $\,$ Based on the records that you reviewed and the results $\,$ Page 18

- 24 of testing that you did and the observations that you just
- 25 described to us, do you have an opinion with a reasonable degree

ea

170

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 of vocational rehab certainty as to whether or not Jose Rivera
- 2 is employable?

- 3 A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q And can you state your opinion?
- 5 A I do not think that Jose Rivera is employable.
- 6 Q And can you explain the basis for your opinion?
- 7 A Yes. He's been deemed totally disabled by the doctor.
- 8 MR. SCAHILL: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay, sustained. The record will
- 10 speak for itself.
- 11 A Okay. When I'm provided -- I don't know if I can say
- 12 this, but I'll try to cooperate. When I'm provided with medical
- 13 evidence from a person's doctor that says they are totally
- 14 disabled, as a vocational rehabilitation --
- 15 MR. SCAHILL: Same objection, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Talking about what she relied her
- 17 decision on. Okay, so continue.
- 18 A As a vocational person and not a medical doctor, I need
- 19 to allow the medical opinion to prevail in terms of what a
- 20 person's physical ability is, otherwise it would be
- 21 irresponsible as a vocational person to supersede what the
- 22 doctor says, that's number one.
- Number two: After observing Mr. Rivera work, actually
- 24 I watched him work, and I was watching him work sitting down
- 25 working, and he wasn't even standing up and climbing ladders

우

Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct

- 1 like he did in this other job, watching him listening to his
- 2 actual subjective complaints. Combining that with what the
- 3 medical records showed, that is totally inconsistent with the
- 4 demands of any job, even a sedentary job.
- 5 A sedentary job, even if you are working on a phone as
- 6 a dispatcher and customer service rep, like he was, you have to
- 7 show up to work every day. If you are in pain and you have to
- 8 take medication, you can't make your own schedule and go in when
- 9 you feel like it. You have to be prompt. You have to show up
- 10 every day. You have to sit at your desk and do your work. If a
- 11 call comes in or emergency comes in you can't be up from your
- 12 station attending to your pain, stretching, fidgeting, or
- 13 distracted because of your pain. You have to pay attention the
- 14 whole time.
- 15 Even in a low pressure job you still have to be there.
- 16 You have to show up on time. You have to show up every day, and
- 17 you have to pay attention and fill your quota.
- 18 Q Now, I just want to be clear about something so there's
- 19 no confusion. Based on your results, do you feel that Mr.
- 20 Rivera actually has skills that are transferable?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Can you tell us a little bit about that?
- 23 A He understands wiring, circuitry and various basic
- 24 computer functions which can be applied to all kinds of
- 25 electronics jobs. He understands. Some of it is dated now, but

ea

172

- 1 he learns quickly from his work history.
- 2 Q And with those skills, can you elaborate or explain why
- 3 you don't believe that he can use them now in an employment
- 4 situation?
- 5 A It's not about the skills. It's about whether or not
- 6 he can physically do a job. Doesn't matter what it is. He
- 7 can't -- Some jobs don't require any skills, considered by the
- 8 Department of Labor unskilled, where, for example, you are a
- 9 greater at Costco or even basic file clerk jobs and basic
- 10 cashier jobs, and when you have skills to pile on that you
- 11 can -- then you have to concentrate even more. He's unable to
- 12 do that.
- 13 Q Now, besides your own testing results and your own
- 14 observations, did you use any other tests to perform your
- 15 evaluation?
- 16 A I analyzed his jobs, if that's what you're wondering
- 17 about.

우

- 18 Q Can you explain that?
- 19 A Yes, sure. The Department of Labor has a directory
- 20 called the Dictionary of Occupational Titles known as the DOT,
- 21 and this is an actual dictionary of occupational titles, over
- 22 12,000 jobs in this, in these volumes. Every single job is
- 23 coded according to skill level, industry, interests, data
- 24 people, physical capacity, everything you can think of.
- 25 So I analyzed the jobs that he had held according to

ea

173

- 1 the DOT numbers, and what I determined was as a mechanic it was
- 2 a skilled job, as splicer, all of his jobs were skilled
- 3 actually. Some of them were light, meaning he would have to

- 4 stand and walk all day and not lift more than 20 pounds. Others
- 5 were heavy. I think he had a heavy job, yes. The line
- 6 installer's job is heavy where he had to lift up to between 50
- 7 and 100 pounds, and climbing around on poles, and crouching in
- 8 tunnels and ditches, all kinds of things.
- 9 He had some above average aptitudes that he
- 10 demonstrated in clerical perception, general learning ability.
- 11 He demonstrated temperaments, including being able to do
- 12 precision work, deal with people, be decisive. He really showed
- 13 a lot of ability on his job.
- 14 Q Ms. Jonas, have you ever heard of the term secondary
- 15 gain?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And can you explain for the jury what that is?
- 18 A That is where you are getting other benefits from your
- 19 disability, so people associate that with malingering.
- 20 Q And is that something that you take into account when
- 21 you are doing your testing?
- 22 A Yes.

9

- 23 Q And did you take that into account when you were
- 24 evaluating Mr. Rivera?
- 25 A I always try.

ea

174

- 1 Q Thanks. And did you reach any conclusions with a
- 2 reasonable degree of vocational rehabilitation certainty as to
- 3 whether secondary gain was in play here?
- 4 A I don't believe there was secondary gain. I thought
- 5 his primary loss was dominant.
- 6 Q And can you explain what that means, primary loss?

	030916 rivera.txt
7	A Yes. He's lost his ability to work and support his
8	family, lost his ability to be physically fit and comfortable.
9	He didn't gain anything.
10	Q Now, before coming here today, did you have an
11	opportunity to review the vocational rehab report of Dr. David
12	Stein?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And did you form any impressions about that report?
15	A Some.
16	Q Can you describe those impressions?
17	MR. SCAHILL: Objection, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Let's have a sidebar.
19	(Whereupon, at this time a discussion was held
20	sidebar.)
21	THE COURT: Rephrase the question.
22	Q Ms. Jonas, did you have an opportunity to review the
23	opinions of Mr. Stein in his report?
24	A I did.
25	Q And did his opinions differ from yours?
	ea
	175
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Direct
1	A Yes.
2	Q And can you describe the difference?
3	A Well, he concluded that Mr. Rivera was able to do a
4	handful of sedentary jobs. What he did was he met with him. I
5	don't know how long he was with him. I don't think, I don't
6	believe the report said that, but he didn't do any testing like
7	I did. He applied a computer program to form his conclusion,

9 matching program based on that DOT I told you about.

8 McCroskey, M-C-C-R-O-S-K-E-Y, I believe, which is a computer

7

```
030916 rivera.txt
              What you do is you plug in the number code of the jobs
10
   a person had performed, the line installer, customer service
11
    rep, all of those are all coded. Then you enter certain
    restrictions like no lifting over 20 pounds, no twisting and
13
   turning, things like that. Then the computer program prints out
   a list of the jobs that are left.
15
              So what this report showed was that prior to the injury
16
   Mr. Rivera had access to hundreds of jobs, I forget, I think it
17
   was 43 percent of all the jobs in his -- in the group that he
18
   measured. Following the restrictions that he put in, he had
19
   access to couple of dozen, I don't remember the number. What he
20
    put in, though, is that he was able to sit down and do a job,
21
    sit, do a desk job basically, and that was where my opinion and
22
    Dr. Stein's opinion diverged.
23
24
              And so were your opinions essentially the same with
    regard to transferrable skills?
25
                                                                   ea
                                                                  176
                        Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross
                    I think we did agree on what Mr. Rivera's
 1
   abilities were in terms of skills and intelligence. We
 2
   disagreed on whether or not he could work now because I
   considered the total disability that the medical reports stated
   as well as my own two and a quarter hours of observations and
 5
    objective testing, so that's -- that may be why we disagree.
 6
                   MR. ACARD: Thank you very much.
 7
                   THE COURT: Cross-examination.
 8
 9
                   MR. SCAHILL: Thank you.
10
    CROSS-EXAMINATION
   BY MR. SCAHILL:
11
12
         Q
              Good morning, Ms. Jonas.
```

우

Page 24

~~~	~ ~ ~		
030	197 h	rivera	ı txt

- 13 A Hi.
- 14 Q My name is Frank Skahill and I represent the defendant
- 15 in this case, Lloyd Lampell.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 O Am I correct that your only contact with Mr. Rivera was
- 18 a two-and-a-half-hour interview and testing approximately a year
- 19 ago in April of 2015?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q So prior to your testimony here today, you had no
- 22 further contact other than that two and a half hour interview
- 23 one year ago, correct?
- 24 A Two and a quarter hours, yes.
- 25 Q Prior to your testimony this morning, you did not

177

### Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross

- 1 contact Mr. Rivera to see if his physical condition has changed;
- 2 is that correct?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q You also did not contact him to see if his condition
- 5 has improved; is that correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q So you are assuming that his condition has remained
- 8 stable over the last year and has not changed, correct?
- 9 A My opinion is based on what I knew in 2015.
- 10 Q That wasn't my question, Ms. Jonas. Am I correct that
- 11 you're making certain assumptions here, one of those assumptions
- 12 is that Mr. Rivera's condition has not changed at all; is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Would you agree that people who have suffered an

	030916 rivera.txt
16	injury, through medical treatment, physical therapy, and other
17	modalities of treatment improve over the course of time? Would
18	you agree with that?
19	A Some do. ves.

- 20 Q Well, would you also agree that individual's vocational
- 21 profile and their ability to work changes as time elapses from
- 22 the date of an injury; would you also agree with that?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So you're stating opinions to this jury to a
- 25 reasonable degree of certainty in your field as a vocational

178

#### Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross

- 1 evaluation expert, correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q But those opinions are a year old. They are without
- 4 the benefit of any further input from Mr. Rivera?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Is that fair to say?
- 7 A That's fair.
- 8 Q And Mr. Rivera's condition could have changed over the
- 9 last year, but you are unaware of that because you didn't
- 10 contact him to find out what his current status is, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And, for example, you told the jury earlier that he had
- 13 great difficulty sitting for two and a half hours during the
- 14 course of your evaluation, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q I'd like you to assume for the moment that Mr. Rivera
- 17 has been sitting on a wooden bench here in court for the last
- 18 three days. Would you agree that that's a change in his ability

02001	6	rivera	+v+
1131191	n	rivera	TXT

- 19 to do sedentary work?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q You would not agree?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Okay. Now, when you interviewed Mr. Rivera you
- 24 indicated that you reviewed certain documents, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

179

#### Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross

- 1 Q And those documents were given to you by his attorney,
- 2 correct?

- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Now, when you came to know Mr. Rivera, it wasn't in the
- 5 course of making recommendations as far as his employability, it
- 6 was for your testimony in this lawsuit?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q Fair to say? And you indicated that you work as a
- 9 consultant, you've testified many times before, correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q How many times have you testified for plaintiffs in the
- 12 last five years?
- 13 A I don't know. I've done both, but I don't have the
- 14 list with me.
- 15 Q Is it predominantly plaintiffs that you've testified
- 16 for?
- 17 A I'm not sure.
- 18 Q Well, how many times in the last year have you
- 19 testified?
- 20 A Usually about a half a dozen times, but that's
- 21 really -- that's a very rough estimate because, as you know,

```
030916 rivera.txt
```

- 22 most cases don't go to trial.
- 23 Q So in addition to your testimony you also prepare
- 24 reports for plaintiff's attorneys, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

우

ea

180

#### Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross

- 1 Q So plaintiffs reach out to you. This is part of your
- 2 marketing, that you hold yourself out as an expert for
- 3 plaintiffs in cases where there is an issue as to whether or not
- 4 that plaintiff can be employed; is that fair to say?
- 5 A That's not accurate.
- 6 Q Well, you don't get contacted out of the blue, you put
- 7 yourself out there as an expert for plaintiffs and vocational
- 8 rehabilitation issues, correct?
- 9 A I would say my referral base is half, 50/50 plaintiff
- 10 and defense, and it's all word of mouth.
- 11 Q But you do put yourself out there for lawyers that if
- 12 you have had a case that there's a vocational expert issue
- 13 you're the person to come to for a report and testimony. You do
- 14 put yourself out there, right?
- 15 A I don't really like the words "put myself out there",
- 16 I'm sorry.
- 17 Q Well, this is your job, this is what you do.
- 18 A I operate completely word of mouth. I don't advertise
- 19 anywhere. All I have had that would even resemble this is a
- 20 LinkedIn page.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A That I hardly even look at.
- 23 Q So when you --
- 24 A That's it.

181

### Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross

- 1 attorney, and that was sometime in 2015; is that correct?
- 2 A I believe so, yes.
- 3 Q When you were first contacted by the plaintiff's
- 4 attorney, were you told that Mr. Rivera has a lawsuit pending?
- 5 A Probably.
- 6 Q Well, that's the reason that they came to you?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q So you knew going into the interview that he has a
- 9 lawsuit pending, correct?
- 10 A Absolutely.
- 11 Q And when you talked about secondary gain before, a
- 12 lawsuit is part and parcel of the issue of secondary gain,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A I can't answer that yes or no.
- 15 Q Well, Mr. Rivera is asking this jury to award him money
- 16 for injuries from an accident that happened in July of 2012,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And it's quite possible that he could be exaggerating
- 20 the extent of his injuries because he is asking the jury to
- 21 award him money; isn't that correct?
- 22 A Anything is possible.
- 23 Q Is that a fair statement?
- 24 A Anything is possible. I disagree with the premise that
- 25 he is exaggerating, but it's possible.

ea

182

#### 030916 rivera.txt Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross

- 1 Q Well, the information that was provided to you
- 2 consisted of solely the reports of the plaintiff's experts and
- 3 the plaintiff's doctors, correct?
- 4 A I think I read a worker's compensation doctor, Dr.
- 5 Kleinman, the one that I said am I allowed to say, he was
- 6 totally disabled so I don't think that is a plaintiff lawyer.
- 7 0 Plaintiff doctor.
- 8 A I'm sorry, doctor.
- 9 Q Did you ask for -- or did you review any of the reports
- 10 from the defendants other than Dr. Stein?
- 11 A Whatever I reviewed I cited in my earlier testimony.
- 12 Q All of the information that you have listed is all
- 13 people that have been associated with the plaintiff. There is
- 14 no document listed in your report that indicates that you saw
- 15 any information from the defendants in this case.
- 16 A Only the compensation doctor, I believe.
- 17 O Okay. Would you agree with me that your opinion would
- 18 be more objective and informed if you had the opportunity to
- 19 review both sides, including the defendant's expert's report;
- 20 would that be a fair statement? That's a yes or no.
- 21 A I don't know. It would depend --
- THE COURT: Yes or no, or I can't answer yes or
- 23 no.

우

- 24 A I can't answer yes or no.
- 25 Q Now, Mr. Rivera gave you a history, correct?

ea

183

### Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross

- 1 A Yes.
- Q And you asked him to be open and honest with you in Page 30

3	giving you a complete and accurate history, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q He never mentioned to you that he had a 15-year history
6	of chronic neck and back pain; is that fair to say?
7	A Not exactly. I knew about the other accidents.
8	Q Well, is there anywhere listed in your report that Mr.
9	Rivera had a 15-years history of chronic neck and back pain?
10	MR. ACARD: Your Honor, I object.
11	THE COURT: You're objecting, right?
12	MR. ACARD: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Objection overruled. You can answer.
14	A Well, I don't cite it as a 15-year history, but I do
15	mention that he had had previous injuries and he had returned to
16	work. That's how I dealt with it in my report, so I
17	acknowledged it.
18	(Whereupon, the following was recorded and
19	transcribed by Official Court Reporter Laura Paro.)
20	(Continued on next page.)
21	* * * * *
22	
23	(
24	
25	
	ea
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross 184
1	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SCAHILL:
3	Q Were you aware that he was diagnosed with a herniated
4	disk in both his neck and back prior to the accident of July 5,
5	2012?

Page 31

	000520 , 1101 0101
6	A I know he had had injuries. I don't recall the precise
7	diagnosis, but I do recall that he has injuries in those areas
8	previously, yes.
9	Q Did he also reveal to you that this is his third
10	lawsuit seeking to recover for neck and back injuries all from
11	car accidents?
12	A That was in the deposition and most of the injuries
13	that he referred to
14	Q I'm asking you, I'm sorry to cut you of, the question
15	was, did he reveal to you that this is the third lawsuit that he
16	has filed seeking recovery for neck and back injuries from car
17	accidents?
18	THE COURT: Yes or no.
19	A No.
20	Q So in terms of the history that was provided to you,
21	did he also reveal to you that he had a host of medical
22	conditions that were not related to the accident which brings us
23	here to Court from July 5 of 2012, did he say anything to you
24	about other medical issues that he had?
25	A Yes.
	٦p
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross 185
1	Q Did he tell you that he had a history of asthma?
2	A Yes.
3	Q GERD's disease?
4	A GERD, yes.
5	Q Sleep apnea?
6	A Yes.
7	Q That he had a tumor in 2014 that was removed from his
8	stomach and he had to undergo surgery? Page 32

9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Did he tell you that he had a history of depression for
11	many yea	ars?
12	Α	Let me check that. No, I don't recall that.
13	Q	You diagnosed him as depressed?
14	Α	I did not diagnosis him as that. He said he was
15	depresse	ed.
16	Q	So the information that was relayed to you by
17	Mr. Rive	era, you took that information, and you put it in your
18	report p	oretty much verbatim, so whatever complaints he made you
19	wrote it	down; is that fair to say?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Would you also agree with me that those are all
22	subjecti	ve complaints?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	There is no way to verify; correct?
25	Α	They are subjective complaints.
		; lp
		ŧ
		Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross 186
1	Q	So you indicated that Mr. Rivera told you that he was
2	depresse	ed; correct?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	And you did not do anything to verify that for your
5	vocation	nal evaluation; is that fair to say?
6	Α	No. I don't believe I saw that in his records. I may
7	have, I	just doesn't recall.
8	Q	There was no medical record to document that yet you
9	indicate	ed in your report that part of the reason that he is
10	unemploy	able is that he was depressed; is that also fair to say?
11	Α	I'm sorry, I didn't hear the beginning of your

12	question	1.
13	Q	Part of the reason that you felt he was unemployable is
14	because	he had this depression; is that fair to say?
<b>1</b> 5	Α	I don't know if I used that word. I talked about his
16	lack of	focus and concentration problems as a psychological
17	factor.	I don't recall if I testified that his depression was
18	keeping	him from working.
19	Q	Now, you would agree with me that Mr. Rivera has a
20	certain	skill set that the average laborer does not have?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Is that fair to say?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	That he is skilled and has at least 10 years of
25	experie	nce working electronics?
		qF
		Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross 187
1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And that is a very portable skill; correct?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	That's something that companies need on a regular
5	basis;	correct?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	And that's also something that companies need
8	instruct	tion on or instructors, they need people to be able to
9	teach o	ther employees about the electronic industry; correct?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Would you also agree with me that Mr. Rivera was a
12	member o	of a union
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	at the time. And that he was also an employee of Page 34

030916 rivera.txt Verizon which employs thousands of individuals in the New York 15 area; is that all fair to say? 16 Yes. 17 Α Are you familiar with the American Disablities Act of 18 Q 19 2002? 20 Α Yes. And that sets the Federal standards for employing 21 Q people with disabilities? 22 23 Α Yes. Are you aware that Verizon has a specific program to 24 Q employ people with disabilities? 25 ٦p 188 Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross Α Yes. 1 And are you aware of Verizon's rating for employing 2 Q people with disabilities? 3 It's what? 4 Α Rating. 5 Q 6 Α No. As an employer? 7 Q 8 Α No. Did you ever hear of a publication Careers & the 9 Q Disabled? 10 Α I believe so. 11 That's a publication to deal with people seeking 12 employment when they are disabled; correct? 13 14 Α Right. Were you aware that Verizon has been named consistently 15

by this publication as one of the nations top 50 employers for

17 people with disabilities? Page 35

16

우

18	Α	That's great.
19	Q	Would you agree with me that Verizon has an outreach
20	and emplo	oyee base that are people with disabilities?
21	Α	I don't know how the system works. I don't know how
22	the outr	each system works.
23	Q	Would you agree there are many people that work at
24	Verizon (	with disabilities?
25	Α	I don't know the number.
		Ίρ
		Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross 189
1	Q	People in wheelchairs work at Verizon?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	And have full-time jobs. They show up everyday on
4	time, do	their work and they are given reasonable accommodations
5	by their	employers?
6	Α	Provided they can complete the essential function of
7	their jo	b, yes, absolutely.
8	Q	In terms of the applicability of the Federal Americans
9	for Disa	bilities Act, an employer such as Verizon is required to
10	give rea	sonable accommodation to workers that have disabilities;
11	correct?	
12	Α	Provided they can complete the essential functions of a
13	job, yes	
14	Q	You indicated that Mr. Rivera told you that he went
15	back to	work and worked there for eight days and then stopped
16	working;	correct?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And he was on Workers' Compensation benefits at that
19	point; c	orrect?
20	Α	I believe so. Page 36

a

21

Ŷ

 ${\bf Q}$  And he continued on Workers' Compensation benefits for

22	severai	years; correct?
23	Α	I don't recall how long he was.
24	Q	Did you ask him if he was still on Workers'
25	Compensa	tion when you interviewed him a year ago in April of
		lр
		Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross 190
		Johas – Flathetti Cross 250
1	2015?	
2	Α	I think the case had settled by the time we met.
3	Q	The Workers' Compensation case settled?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	Meaning that he got a lump sum award?
6	Α	I don't know what he got, but that's often the case,
7	yes.	
8	Q	Did you speak to him about any of that?
9	Α	No.
10	Q	You knew that he was coming to see you for purposes of
11	this law:	suit, you didn't inquire about the status of his
12	Workers'	Comp case?
13	Α	He told me he had been getting \$792 a week from
14	Workers'	Comp and the case settled.
15	Q	For three years he was getting \$792 a week from
16	Workers'	Comp for staying home?
17		MR. ACARD: Objection, your Honor.
18		THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
19	Q	Did you take that into account when we talked about the
20	issue of	secondary gain that someone would be getting \$800 a
21	week for	staying home?
22	Α	Of course that's the point of Workers' Comp. When they
23	can't wo	rk they have to be compensated. Page 37

우

Q Did Mr. Rivera report to you that he ever spoke to his

25	employer, Verizon, about reasonable accommodations that are
	٦p
	Jonas - Plaintiff - Cross 191
1	required by the Federal statute?
2	A No.
3	Q Would you agree with me that, hypothetically, if
4	Mr. Rivera went to his employer, Verizon, and said I'm disabled,
5	I can't climb poles any more, I can't pick up 100 pounds, that
6	they would be required to provide him with a reasonable
7	accommodation for employment?
8	MR. ACARD: Objection, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: I'll allow it.
10	A If that was the only thing he couldn't do, lift 100
11	pounds and climb poles there would be other jobs he could do.
12	Q Would you also agree
13	A All right go ahead.
14	Q Would you also agree that a person that has a 10 year
15	work history, and is skilled in electronics could work with
16	reasonable accommodations at a company like Verizon if they
17	wanted to?
18	A If they were able to. It's different.
19	Q I didn't ask you that. I asked you if they wanted to.
20	A If they wanted to, yes, only if they were able to.
21	MR. SCAHILL: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.
22	THE COURT: Any redirect?
23	MR. ACARD: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: You may step down.
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
	٦.