

SB 350

THE GASOLINE RESTRICTION ACT OF 2015

SB350 is the mandatory 50% gasoline and diesel fuel restriction in California by 2030, giving the California Air Resources Board total authority to implement the fuel restriction mandate by whatever means necessary.

**Californians face draconian cuts and limitations to mobility.
Legislators face the political backlash of gas rationing and increased costs.**

How CARB could meet the restriction mandate

Gas Rationing: Limiting how much gas you can buy

Mobility Restrictions: Limiting when and how many miles you can drive

Family Surcharges: Extra charges on family mini-vans, trucks and SUV's

Forced Purchasing: Requiring you to buy new electric cars

Mobility Monitoring: State monitoring of individuals' fuel consumption records to ensure compliance

Who gets hurt by those who vote for SB350?

Families: In addition to increased costs for food and groceries, those who use family mini-vans and SUVs to transport their children could either no longer have that option, or likely face registration surcharges and increased fuel costs.

Commuters: Not only would SB350 likely raise gas prices, but limited access to fuel and State-imposed driving restrictions would make it even more difficult to commute to work each day.

Small Businesses: The cost of purchasing goods could increase, as well as the cost to reliably service customers, which in turn would increase the cost of goods and services for every Californian.

Under-Served Communities: Those least able to afford cost increases, limitations on mobility, and surcharges on older vehicles would be hurt and further isolated.

Local Law Enforcement & Highways: Over \$9 billion in existing gas taxes could be lost. Those taxes currently fund local law enforcement as well as regional roads and highways.

Legislators Empowering CARB

The California Air Resources Board is the same State agency that previously considered banning dark paint on cars; criminalizing under-inflated tires on cars; and requiring windshields that would have disabled GPS and phone signals. CARB is already attempting to require that individuals' personal driving data and fuel consumption records be accessible to State regulators for review.

**SB350 doesn't make California the most environmental state.
It makes California the most extreme state.**



**Drivers
Alliance**



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Jenny Dudikoff (916) 498-7713

Statement in Response to *Associated Press* Article on Green Jobs Promise

August 19, 2015, Sacramento, CA – Californians for Affordable and Reliable Energy (CARE) responded to the release of an *Associated Press* article that has raised significant concerns about whether or not funds from the passage of Proposition 39 are accomplishing what voters intended.

The AP article highlights that “half of the funds raised from Proposition 39 are dedicated to fund clean energy projects in schools, promising to generate more than 11,000 jobs each year.” Instead nearly three years after its passage, only 1,700 jobs have been created.

The office of Senate President Pro Tem Kevin de Leon, D-Los Angeles, initially estimated “Los Angeles Unified School District would save up to \$27 million a year on energy costs; projects proposed by the district so far would save only \$1.4 million.”

These promises and claims are eerily similar to those being touted in current climate change proposals (SB 32 and SB 350) swiftly making their way through the Legislature. Californians need an objective conversation about current climate policies that have already raised energy costs. Residents and businesses need more thorough analysis identifying what green jobs will be created, where those “green job” opportunities will be located and what training will be needed to fill those jobs.

Additionally, it is critical that policymakers fully understand the implications of AB 32 and existing policies on California’s residents before moving forward with additional mandates that could further impact residents and our economy.

Currently only 2% of the state’s 16.1 million jobs can be classified as green jobs, can we afford to jeopardize the other 98% of California’s jobs at the cost of policies we don’t yet fully understand?

“How are green jobs quantified and what are the wages? Do green policies create middle class jobs that California needs to grow its base and economy, and at what cost? Policymakers need the answers to these and many other questions before giving the California Air Resources Board more authority that will impact jobs,” stated Rob Lapsley, member of the CARE coalition and president of the California Business Roundtable.

"This recent article raises critical questions about what constitutes 'green jobs', where do they fit within the broader economy, and how are our state policies affecting job growth overall? We are eager to elevate this important conversation about California's economic future," stated Kish Rajan, member of the CARE coalition and president of the Southern California Leadership Council.

To read the entire AP article [click here](#).

Californians for Affordable & Reliable Energy is a non-partisan coalition advocating for a comprehensive state energy plan on fuels and electricity that prioritizes affordability, reliability and adequate supply. For more information please visit CAREaboutEnergy.org or connect with the coalition on twitter @CAREaboutEnergy.